

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,243 | 10/27/2006 | Ralf Dunkel | CS8774/BCS033030 | 8859 |
| 34469 BAYER CROE | 7590 12/22/200 PSCIENCE LP | EXAMINER | | |
| Patent Department 2 T. W. ALEXANDER DRIVE RISEARCH TRIANGLE PARK. NC 27709 | | | CHU, YONG LIANG | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| KLSIZIKCII I | KITH YOLL I THEK, I'VE | 27703 | 1626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/22/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

blair.wilson@bayercropscience.com pamula.ramsey@bayercropscience.com rebecca.hayes@bayercropscience.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/576,243 | | DUNKEL ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | YONG CHU | 1626 | | |

| | YONG CHU | 1626 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 opends: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07/ | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL. | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | couse | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOTow); | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: The pending claims contain non-elected subject matter (e.g., R3 is halogen or C1-C8-haloalkyl), which requires further search and examination. Also see the elected and examined scope of subject matter under "Status of the Claims" in the Office action on 12/29/2009. (See 37 CFR 1.16 and 41.33) | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | • | | | | | |
| | a document place the application in | Condition for allowall | oc because. | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

/Yong Chu/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1626